# **CHECKLIST FOR GUILTY PLEA APPEALS**

1	Did you determine whether the indictment was proper? (See pp. 14-15)	
2	Did you determine whether the trial court properly calculated the defendant's prior record level calculation? (See pp. 3-8)	
3	Did you determine whether the trial court imposed a proper sentence based on the offense classification and the defendant's prior record level? (See pp. 9-10)	
4	Did you use the correct sentencing grid to check the defendant's sentence? (See p. 9)	
5	If the trial court imposed an aggravated sentence, did you determine whether the court complied with N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.16? (See pp. 2-3)	
6	If the trial court imposed an aggravated sentence, did you determine whether any of the aggravating factors were based on evidence that also supported any elements of the offenses?  (See pp. 2-3)	
7	If the court imposed probation, did you determine whether the probationary sentence was proper? ( <i>See</i> pp. 19-20)	
8	If the defendant filed a motion to suppress, did you determine whether the defendant reserved the right appeal the denial of the motion? ( <i>See</i> pp. 10-11)	
9	If the defendant filed a motion to suppress, did you determine whether the defendant gave notice of appeal from the judgment? (See pp. 10-11)	
10	Did you determine whether the defendant's guilty plea was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary? ( <i>See</i> pp. 16-17)	
11	Did you determine whether the State presented a sufficient factual basis for each element of each charge? ( <i>See</i> pp. 17-18)	
12	Did you determine whether the defendant can get the benefit of the plea bargain? (See pp. 18-19)	

# COMMON ISSUES IN GUILTY PLEA APPEALS

# Appellate Advocacy Foundations February 18, 2022 David Andrews, Assistant Appellate Defender

**Disclaimer:** This document is not intended to be an exhaustive list of issues that can be raised in guilty plea appeals. Instead, the purpose of this document is to describe issues that occur with some frequency in such appeals. Please do not rely on this document as a substitute for independent legal research.

**A word of caution:** Guilty plea appeals often entail risks to client. It is important that you are aware of these risks and that you explain these risks to the client.

If you are assigned to an appeal in which there is an error that, if successfully challenged, could invalidate the guilty plea, you must advise the client of the risks of raising the error on appeal. If the client understands the risks and wants to you to make the argument, be sure to get the client's written permission.

There are at least two risks that guilty plea appeals entail. First, if you make an argument that invalidates all or part of the plea agreement, the plea agreement will no longer be valid. State v. Rico, 218 N.C. App. 109, 122, 720 S.E.2d 801, 809 (Steelman, J., dissenting), rev'd for reasons stated in the dissenting opinion, 366 N.C. 327, 734 S.E.2d 571 (2012) (per curiam). See also State v. Heggs, 2021-NCCOA-564 (vacating plea agreement because the defendant repudiated part of the agreement when he challenged aggravating factors on appeal). Second, if the State reprosecutes the defendant after the case is remanded, the defendant will not be protected from receiving a higher sentence. See N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1335 (the protection against receiving a higher sentence after a successful appeal "shall not apply when a defendant . . . succeeds in having a plea of guilty vacated.").

These risks can arise in different ways, including through the following arguments:

- 1. Sufficiency of the evidence to support an aggravated or mitigated sentence.
- 2. Prior record level calculation.
- 3. Sentence calculation.
- 4. Subject matter jurisdiction (defective indictment or information).
- 5. Factual basis for the guilty plea.
- 6. Voluntariness of the guilty plea.
- 7. Benefit of the bargain.
- 8. Restitution.

This list is not exhaustive. If you identify an argument in a guilty plea appeal, be sure to determine whether it will invalidate the plea agreement or the guilty plea before raising the argument on appeal.

**Issues that can be raised on direct appeal:** There are only a few issues that can be raised on direct appeal in guilty plea cases. See below for a description of those issues.

- 1. Evidentiary Issues for Aggravated or Mitigated Sentences (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(a1)):
  - a. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(a1) specifies that a defendant may appeal as a matter of right the issue of whether his or her sentence is supported by "evidence introduced at the trial and sentencing hearing . . . ." Although this provision specifically refers to "evidence," the Court of Appeals has held that a defendant who stipulates to an aggravating factor for which the prosecutor has provided a factual basis may appeal under this provision. *State v. Graves*, No. COA17-1380, 2018 N.C. App. LEXIS 1174 at \*6 (N.C. Ct. App. Dec. 4, 2018) (unpublished). However, you should also raise the argument in a petition for writ of certiorari out of an abundance of caution because *Graves* is unpublished and therefore not binding.
  - b. In order to appeal under this provision, the defendant's sentence must be either in the aggravated or mitigated range. A defendant may not rely on this provision to challenge a presumptive range sentence. *State v. Mungo*, 213 N.C. App. 400, 403, 713 S.E.2d 542, 544 (2011).
    - i. This is true even if the minimum sentence is at the top of the presumptive range and overlaps with the aggravated range. *State v. Daniels*, 203 N.C. App. 350, 355, 691 S.E.2d 78, 81 (2010).
  - c. If the trial court imposed an aggravated sentence, be sure to scrutinize the evidence supporting the aggravating factors:
    - i. If the evidence did not support an aggravating factor, the case must be remanded for re-sentencing. *State v. Thompson*, 64 N.C. App. 354, 354, 307 S.E.2d 397, 398 (1993).
    - ii. Evidence necessary to prove an element of the offense may not be used to support any aggravating factor. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.16(d). Consequently, if the evidence supporting an aggravating factor also supported the underlying conviction, the aggravating factor is improper and the sentence must be reversed. *State v. Heggs*, 2021-NCCOA-564.
    - iii. A stipulation to an aggravating factor will not prevent the defendant from challenging the aggravating factor on appeal. *State v. Bacon*, 228 N.C. App. 432, 434, 745 S.E.2d 905, 907 (2013).
  - d. If the defendant received an aggravated sentence, make sure that (1) the State gave notice of the aggravating factors and (2) the defendant either admitted to any aggravating factors after a plea colloquy or the State proved the aggravating factors beyond a reasonable doubt and a jury returned a verdict finding the aggravating factors. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.16.
    - i. It is possible that the Court of Appeals will conclude that arguments involving the lack of notice or defects in plea procedures for aggravating factors are not covered by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(a1). If either issue arises in your case, you should consider raising the issues in both a brief and a petition for writ of certiorari.
  - e. If the defendant received an aggravated or mitigated sentence, make sure that the trial court did not reject uncontested evidence of mitigating factors.

- Even if the defendant received a mitigated sentence, the defendant can still challenge mitigating factors that were supported by the evidence, but were rejected by the trial court. *State v. Mabry*, 217 N.C. App. 465, 471, 720 S.E.2d 697, 702 (2011).
- f. Caution: If the plea agreement specifies an aggravated range sentence but the evidence does not support one or more aggravating factors or the aggravating factors are otherwise invalid, be sure to advise the client that there is a risk that the client will receive a higher sentence on remand if the appeal is successful. If the client still wants to proceed with the appeal, get the client's written permission.

# 2. Prior Record Level Calculation (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(a2)(1)):

- a. Determine whether the defendant or his trial attorney stipulated to the defendant's prior convictions.
  - i. The defendant or his trial attorney may stipulate to prior convictions orally or by signing the prior record level worksheet. *State v. Hussey*, 194 N.C. App. 516, 523, 669 S.E.2d 864, 868 (2008). A blank worksheet, which includes a section for stipulating to convictions, is included in the appendix. (A pp 1-2)
  - ii. In addition, the defendant or his attorney may stipulate to the classification of the offense. *State v. Arrington*, 371 N.C. 518, 526, 819 S.E.2d 329, 334 (2018). However, when there is "clear record evidence demonstrating the parties' stipulation was an error or mistaken," the trial court "should defer to the record evidence rather than a defendant's stipulation." *State v. Green*, 266 N.C. App. 382, 390, 831 S.E.2d 611, 617 (2019).
  - iii. The defense attorney's silence during the sentencing hearing can sometimes amount to a stipulation. See State v. Wade, 181 N.C. App. 295, 298, 639 S.E.2d 82, 85 (2007) (holding that the defense attorney stipulated to convictions listed on a worksheet because he had an opportunity to object to the convictions, but used the opportunity to present mitigating factors). However, a stipulation must be "definite and certain." State v. Hurt, 361 N.C. 325, 329, 643 S.E.2d 915, 918 (2007). To that end, a notation in the punishment class section of the plea transcript regarding a particular prior record level does not amount to a stipulation in the absence of other indications that the defendant stipulated to his prior record level. State v. Braswell, 269 N.C. App. 309, 315, 837 S.E.2d 580, 584 (2020).
- b. If the defendant did not stipulate to his prior convictions, make sure that the State proved that the convictions existed as required by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.14(f).
  - i. An unsigned prior record level worksheet does <u>not</u> satisfy the State's burden of proof. *State v. Riley*, 159 N.C. App. 546, 557, 583 S.E.2d 379, 387 (2003).
  - ii. A Division of Criminal Information printout generally satisfies the State's burden of proof. *State v. Safrit*, 154 N.C. App. 727, 730, 572 S.E.2d 863, 866 (2002).
  - iii. If the State's evidence indicates that the defendant was convicted of an offense that could be classified different ways, but the evidence does not indicate which classification is correct, the State has failed to satisfy its burden of proof. See State v. McNeill, \_\_\_\_, N.C. App. \_\_\_\_\_, 821 S.E.2d

862, 864 (2018) (remanding for re-sentencing where the State failed to prove whether the defendant had previously been convicted of the Class 1 misdemeanor version of possession of drug paraphernalia or the Class 3 misdemeanor version).

- c. Make sure the trial court properly calculated the defendant's prior record level points:
  - i. For an example of an appeal involving several arguments about a trial court's improper prior record level calculation, please see Issue II in the defendant's brief in *State v. Glover*, No. COA18-538.
  - ii. Even if the defendant stipulated to the existence of his prior convictions, you can still challenge the trial court's erroneous calculation of the defendant's prior convictions or sentencing points on appeal. *See, e.g., State v. Fair*, 205 N.C. App. 315, 315, 695 S.E.2d 514, 515 (2010) ("Unlike a stipulation to the existence of a prior conviction, which is binding on appeal, the trial court's determination as to whether a conviction may be counted for felony sentencing purposes is reviewable on appeal.").
  - iii. It is improper for the court to assess points for convictions that were joined with the conviction that is the subject of the appeal. *State v. West*, 180 N.C. App. 664, 669-70, 638 S.E.2d 508, 512 (2006).
  - iv. The trial court may not assess any points for prior Class 2 or Class 3 misdemeanors. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.14(b). State v. Sanders, 225 N.C. App. 227, 229, 736 S.E.2d 238, 240 (2013).
- d. Make sure that any points the trial court assessed for traffic offenses were proper:
  - i. The only misdemeanor traffic offenses that count toward a defendant's prior record level are impaired driving, impaired driving in a commercial vehicle, and misdemeanor death by vehicle. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.14(b)(5). Thus, a conviction for driving while license revoked, which is a Class 1 misdemeanor in some circumstances, does not provide any sentencing points. *Id.*; *State v. Flint*, 199 N.C. App. 709, 728, 682 S.E.2d 443, 454 (2009).
  - ii. Although the classification for impaired driving is not defined under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 20-138.1, it is a Class 1 misdemeanor for sentencing purposes. *State v. Armstrong*, 203 N.C. App. 399, 410, 691 S.E.2d 433, 441 (2010).
- e. Make sure that any points related to status offenses were proper:
  - i. Habitual Felon Charges:
    - 1. The trial court may not assess any prior record level points for any of the convictions that the State used to establish the defendant's habitual felon status. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-7.6; *State v. Miller*, 168 N.C. App. 572, 576, 608 S.E.2d 565, 567 (2005).
    - 2. An indictment for attaining habitual felon status may be returned before, after, or simultaneously with a substantive felony indictment. *State v. Blakney*, 156 N.C. App. 671, 675, 577 S.E.2d 387, 390 (2003). However, the trial court lacks jurisdiction to sentence a defendant as an habitual felon where the habitual felon indictment is issued before the offense date of the underlying substantive offense. *State v. Flint*, 199 N.C. App. 709, 718, 682 S.E.2d 443, 448 (2009).
    - 3. If the State used more than three prior felony convictions in the habitual felon indictment, it may not use any of those convictions for

- prior record level points. *State v. Lee*, 150 N.C. App. 701, 704, 564 S.E.2d 597, 598 (2002).
- 4. If the defendant has multiple convictions that arose before turning 18, the State is only permitted to use one of the convictions to support a judgment for attaining habitual felon status. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-7.1.
- 5. A juvenile adjudication "is not synonymous with the conviction of a crime," *In re Jones*, 11 N.C. App. 437, 438, 181 S.E.2d 162, 162 (1971), and, thus, will not support a judgment for attaining habitual felon status. *See* N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-7.1 (defining habitual felon as a person who has been "convicted of or pled guilty" to three felony offenses).
- 6. The trial court is allowed to assess points for convictions from the same calendar week as convictions the State uses to establish the defendant's habitual felon status. *State v. Truesdale*, 123 N.C. App. 639, 642, 473 S.E.2d 670, 672 (1996)
- 7. The trial court is allowed to sentence the defendant as an habitual felon based on the same prior felony that the State used to convict the defendant of possession of a firearm by a felon. *State v. Glasco*, 160 N.C. App. 150, 160, 585 S.E.2d 257, 264 (2003).

# ii. Other Status Offenses:

- 1. The trial court may not assess points for a prior conviction of impaired driving that serves as the basis for the defendant's habitual impaired driving sentence. *State v. Gentry*, 135 N.C. App. 107, 111-12, 519 S.E.2d 68, 70-71 (1999).
- 2. It is permissible for a trial court to count prior DWI convictions that served as the basis for habitual impaired driving convictions in addition to the habitual impaired driving convictions when sentencing the defendant for a later offense. *State v. Hyden*, 175 N.C. App. 576, 581, 625 S.E.2d 125, 128 (2006).
- 3. The State may use felonies such as habitual impaired driving, habitual misdemeanor assault, and speeding to elude arrest as the substantive felony that is elevated under the habitual felon statutes. *State v. Baldwin*, 117 N.C. App. 713 (1995) (habitual impaired driving); *State v. Smith*, 139 N.C. App. 209 (2000) (habitual misdemeanor assault); *State v. Scott*, 167 N.C. App. 783 (2005) (speeding to elude arrest).
- 4. The trial court may enter judgment on a conviction for possession of a firearm by a felon and assess prior record level points for the conviction that serves as the basis for the defendant's status as a felon. *State v. Goodwin*, 190 N.C. App. 570, 578, 661 S.E.2d 46, 51 (2008).
- f. If the defendant has prior out-of-state convictions, make sure that the State proved that the offenses were substantially similar to North Carolina offenses as required by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.14(e).
  - i. Although the defendant may stipulate that the conviction was a felony or misdemeanor, *State v. Bohler*, 198 N.C. App. 631, 638, 681 S.E.2d 801, 806 (2009), the defendant may not stipulate that an out-of-state conviction is substantially similar to a North Carolina offense. *State v. Hanton*, 175 N.C.

- App. 250, 254, 623 S.E.2d 600, 604 (2006).
- ii. Make sure the State identified the relevant out-of-state statutes during the sentencing hearing.
  - 1. The State cannot wait until the defendant appeals to identify the relevant statutes. *State v. Sanders*, 367 N.C. 716, 719, 766 S.E.2d 331, 333 (2014); *State v. Henderson*, 201 N.C. App. 381, 388, 689 S.E.2d 462, 467 (2009).
  - 2. If the State presented statutes at the sentencing hearing, make sure the statutes were from the year the defendant was convicted. Statutes from later years are generally not sufficient to satisfy the State's burden of proof. State v. Burgess, 216 N.C. App. 54, 57-58, 715 S.E.2d 867, 870 (2011). However, evidence indicating that a later version of a statute was in effect when the defendant was convicted can satisfy the State's burden of proof. State v. Best, No. COA13-498, slip op. at 12 (N.C. Ct. App. Nov. 5, 2013) (unpublished).
- iii. When determining substantial similarity, the appellate court must "compar[e] the elements of [the] out-of-state and North Carolina offenses." *State v. Sanders*, 367 N.C. 716, 720, 766 S.E.2d 331, 334 (2014).
  - 1. When an out-of-state offense has elements that are similar to multiple North Carolina offenses, the rule of lenity requires appellate courts to interpret the out-of-state statute in favor of the defendant. *State v. Hanton*, 175 N.C. App. 250, 259, 623 S.E.2d 600, 606 (2006).
  - 2. However, the wording of the out-of-state provision and the North Carolina provision "do not need to precisely match in order to be deemed to be substantially similar." *State v. Graham*, 863 S.E.2d 752, 756 (N.C. 2021).
- g. Make sure that the prior offenses are all from different dates as required by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.14(d).
  - i. If the defendant was convicted of more than one offense during one calendar week in superior court, the court can only use the conviction for the offense with the highest point total. If the defendant was convicted of more than one offense in a single session of district court, the court can only use one conviction.
  - ii. If the defendant sustained multiple convictions in both district court and superior court on the same day, the court can only use one district court conviction and one superior court conviction for prior record points. *State v. Fuller*, 179 N.C. App. 61, 70-71, 632 S.E.2d 509, 515 (2006).
- h. Make sure that any points that the defendant received for something other than a prior conviction are proper.
  - i. The court can assess a point under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.14(b)(7) if the defendant committed the offense while on probation, parole, post-release supervision, while in prison, or while on escape from a correctional institution. However, the State must give the defendant notice of its intent to prove the point and then prove the point to a jury unless the defendant admits to it. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.16(a5). The Court of Appeals has upheld the assessment of a point under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.14(b)(7) despite the

lack of a plea colloquy. *See State v. Marlow*, 229 N.C. App. 593, 602, 747 S.E.2d 741, 748 (2013) (a colloquy about the point would have been "inappropriate and unnecessary" under the circumstances). By contrast, the Court remanded a case for re-sentencing when the State failed to give notice of its intent to prove the point even though the defendant stipulated to the point. *State v. Crook*, \_\_\_\_ N.C. App. \_\_\_\_, 785 S.E.2d 771 (2016); *State v. Snelling*, 231 N.C. App. 676, 680, 752 S.E.2d 739, 743 (2014).

- ii. If the trial court assessed a point because all of the elements of the current offense were included in the defendant's prior offenses, make sure the court's ruling on the point was proper.
  - 1. If the trial court consolidated several offenses for sentencing, the comparison to prior offenses only involves the most serious offense. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.15(b); *State v. Prush*, 185 N.C. App. 472, 479, 648 S.E.2d 556, 560-61 (2007). The same is true even if all of the consolidated offenses were elevated to the same level because of the defendant attained habitual felon status. *State v. Gardner*, 225 N.C. App. 161, 170, 736 S.E.2d 826, 832 (2013).
- i. Make sure that the trial court did not assess any points for prior adverse judgments that do not count toward the trial court's prior record level calculation.
  - i. Prior delinquency adjudications do not qualify for prior record level points. *State v. Tucker*, 154 N.C. App. 653, 659, 573 S.E.2d 197, 201 (2002).
  - ii. A judgment finding the defendant in criminal contempt does not count toward the defendant's prior record level calculation under the Structured Sentencing Act. *State v. Reaves*, 142 N.C. App. 629, 636, 544 S.E.2d 253, 258 (2001).
  - iii. A prior conviction that is elevated as part of a sentencing enhancement can only be counted as the original classification for the underlying substantive offense. *State v. Flint*, 199 N.C. App. 709, 729, 682 S.E.2d 443, 454 (2009).
  - iv. A prior conviction from district court that the defendant appealed to superior court and that is pending at the time of the sentencing hearing does not qualify for prior record level points. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.11(7)(a).
  - v. The trial court may count a guilty plea or a guilty verdict for which prayer for judgment was continued toward the defendant's prior record level calculation. *State v. Graham*, 149 N.C. App. 215, 220, 562 S.E.2d 286, 289 (2002).
  - vi. It is proper for the court to count a guilty plea that the defendant entered as part of a conditional discharge under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-96(a) and for which the defendant was still on probation at the time of the sentencing hearing. *State v. Hasty*, 133 N.C. App. 563, 571-72, 516 S.E.2d 428, 433 (1999).
- j. If the trial court's calculation was wrong, make sure the defendant was prejudiced:
  - i. An error in the trial court's prior record level calculation is subject to harmless error review. That is, a defendant is not entitled to re-sentencing unless the error resulted in the trial court sentencing the defendant at a higher prior record level. See State v. Posner, No. COA20-462, at ¶ 22 (2021) (the trial court's miscalculation "prejudiced Defendant because he should have been given thirteen prior record points and sentenced as a Level IV, instead of a Level V"); State v. Adams, 156 N.C. App. 318, 324, 576 S.E.2d 377, 382 (2003) (holding that the defendant was not entitled to re-sentencing because

- his prior record level "would still have been VI" even if the trial court had erroneously determined that all of the elements of his present offense were included in a prior offense).
- ii. As far back as 2005, the Court of Appeals held that the defendant is not prejudiced by the trial court's improper prior record level calculation if the sentence the defendant received was within the range of the correct prior record level. See State v. Ledwell, 171 N.C. App. 314, 321, 614 S.E.2d 562, 567 (2005). However, Ledwell does not appear to be binding because it conflicts with Supreme Court precedent and at least one earlier decision of the Court of Appeals. In State v. Williams, 355 N.C. 501, 587, 565 S.E.2d 609, 659 (2002), the Supreme Court held that the defendant was prejudiced by the trial court's decision to sentence him at a higher record level "because the trial court could have sentenced defendant to lesser time . . . if the proper prior record level had been calculated." Based on Williams, the Court of Appeals held that the trial court's erroneous prior record level calculation "requires remand." State v. McNeill, 158 N.C. App. 96, 99, 580 S.E.2d 27, 29 (2003).
- iii. If the trial court sentenced the defendant at the wrong prior record level, be prepared to argue that *Ledwell* is not binding and that re-sentencing is required under *Williams* and *McNeill*.
- k. <u>Caution:</u> If the plea agreement specifies a particular sentence but there are errors in the trial court's calculation of that defendant's prior record level, think carefully about how to proceed with the appeal.
  - i. If you intend to challenge the trial court's assessment of points for some of the defendant's prior convictions, you should only do so if you also intend to argue that the entire plea agreement is invalid. Otherwise, arguments about the trial court's prior record level calculation are of no use to the client. To that end, be aware that the Court of Appeals recently held in *State v. Green*, No. COA18-1114, 2019 N.C. App. LEXIS 606, 12 (N.C. Ct. App. Jul. 16, 2019) that the defendant "successfully repudiated" the plea agreement, which specified an "active sentence of 87-117 months bottom mitigated," after successfully challenging the trial court's inclusion of two prior convictions in its prior record calculation.
  - ii. In addition, if you intend to challenge the trial court's assessment of one or more of the defendant's prior convictions and to argue the plea agreement is invalid in light of the court's improper assessment, be sure to get the client's written permission to do so. If you are successful, there is a risk that the client will receive a higher sentence on remand.
- 3. Sentence Disposition (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(a2)(2)):
  - a. Make sure that the type of sentence that the defendant received was proper based on the felony classification and the defendant's prior record level.
    - i. There are three types of sentence dispositions: (1) community, (2) intermediate, and (3) active. N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 15A-1340.11, 15A-1340.17(c)(1).
      - 1. A defendant sentenced to community punishment may not be given an

- active sentence or special probation. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.11(2). However, community punishment may involve supervised or unsupervised probation. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1341(b).
- 2. A defendant sentenced to community punishment for the Class A1 misdemeanor of stalking must be placed on supervised probation. N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 14-277.3A.
- 3. A defendant convicted of assault or affray, and who inflicts serious injury upon another person or who uses a deadly weapon on a person with whom the person has a personal relationship and in the presence of a minor must be placed on supervised probation if the court imposes community punishment. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-33(d).
- 4. A defendant sentenced to intermediate punishment must be placed on supervised probation. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.11(6). Intermediate punishment may include special probation. *Id*.
- ii. A defendant convicted of a Class I felony with a prior record level I, II, or III cannot receive an active sentence. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.17.
- iii. Special probation (otherwise known as a split sentence) is only available for defendants who are subject to intermediate punishment. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1351(a). According to the sentencing grid under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1340.17, the following defendants are not eligible for intermediate punishment and, therefore, cannot receive split sentences:
  - 1. A defendant convicted of a Class I felony with a prior record level I.
  - 2. A defendant convicted of a Class H felony with a prior record level VI.
  - 3. A defendant convicted of a Class G felony with a prior record level V or VI.
  - 4. A defendant convicted of a Class F felony with a prior record level IV, V, or VI.
  - 5. A defendant convicted of a Class E felony with a prior record level III, IV, V, or VI.
  - 6. A defendant convicted of a Class A-D felony.

# 4. Sentence Calculation (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(a2)(3)):

- a. Make sure that the sentence reflects the correct part of the sentencing grid for the offense class and prior record level for the defendant.
- b. Over the past several years, the General Assembly has repeatedly changed the laws that govern criminal sentences. Be sure to apply the correct sentencing grid for each of your appeals. Sentencing grids are available on the Judicial Branch website.
- c. A defendant convicted of attaining habitual felon status must be sentenced four classes higher than the substantive offense and no higher than a Class C felony level. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-7.6.
- d. If the defendant pled guilty to conspiracy, attempt, or solicitation, make sure the trial court sentenced the defendant in accordance with N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 14-2.4 (conspiracy), 14-2.5 (attempt), and 14-2.6 (solicitation). These inchoate crimes are generally, but not always, punished at a lower offense class.
- e. If the defendant pled guilty based on a theory of acting in concert or aiding or

- abetting, the defendant is generally punished at the same level as a defendant who personally committed an offense. *State v. Williams*, 299 N.C. 652, 655-56, 263 S.E.2d 774, 777 (1980).
- f. A defendant who pleads guilty to accessory before the fact is generally sentenced at the same level as a principal. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-5.2. A defendant who pleads guilty to accessory after the fact is generally sentenced two classes lower than the felony unless a different classification is specified by statute. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-7.
- g. If the defendant pled guilty to trafficking, the sentence is specifically defined under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-95(h).
  - i. There is no prior record level calculation for trafficking offenses.
  - ii. The sentence for conspiracy to engage in trafficking is the same as trafficking. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-95(i).
  - iii. The class for a conspiracy or attempt to commit a trafficking or non-trafficking drug offense is the same as the underlying drug offense that the defendant conspired or attempted to commit. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-98.
  - iv. Although conspiracy to traffic is subject to the mandatory sentence for trafficking, attempted trafficking, though the same class as a completed trafficking crime, is subject to sentencing under the Structured Sentencing Act. *State v. Clark*, 137 N.C. App. 90, 97, 527 S.E.2d 319, 323 (2000).
- h. If the defendant pled guilty to multiple misdemeanors, the court may not impose consecutive sentences that exceed twice the maximum sentence for the most serious misdemeanor. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1322(a).
- i. Caution: If the plea agreement specifies a particular sentence but there are errors in the trial court's calculation of that sentence, think carefully about how to proceed with the appeal.
  - i. As described in section 2(k) above, a successful challenge to the trial court's calculation could place the client at risk of receiving a higher sentence on remand.
- 5. Denial of a Properly Preserved Motion to Suppress (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(e)):
  - a. Make sure that before pleading guilty, the defendant preserved the right to appeal the denial of the motion to suppress. Under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-979(b), the defendant must give notice of his intent to appeal the suppression order to the prosecutor and the court before pleading guilty. State v. Reynolds, 298 N.C. 380, 397, 259 S.E.2d 843, 853 (1979). The most common way to comply with Reynolds is to include in the written transcript of plea a statement that the defendant reserves the right to appeal the denial of the suppression motion. A sample written plea agreement preserving the right to appeal the denial of a suppression motion is attached to this handout. (A p 3) However, the Court of Appeals has also held that a suppression motion that included a statement reserving the "right to appeal if this motion is denied and there is a subsequent plea of guilty" was sufficient to preserve the right to appeal the denial of a suppression argument when the suppression hearing preceded the plea hearing by only one day. State v. Hernandez, 170 N.C. App. 299, 303, 612 S.E.2d 420, 423 (2005).
    - i. A stipulation in the record on appeal that the defendant gave proper notice of

- his intent to appeal the denial of a suppression motion is <u>not</u> sufficient to comply with *Tew* and *Reynolds*. *State v. Brown*, 142 N.C. App. 491, 493, 543 S.E.2d 192, 193 (2001).
- ii. For many years, there was some confusion about whether the Court of Appeals could review an unpreserved suppression motion through a writ of certiorari. In 2015, the Court of Appeals held that a defendant could not seek review by writ of certiorari if the defendant failed to give notice of intent to appeal the denial of the suppression motion. *See State v. Harris*, 243 N.C. App. 137, 141, 776 S.E.2d 554, 556 (2015).
- iii. However, according to this pleading in State v. Perez, No. COA19-273, there are arguments that Harris was wrongly decided. For one, Reynolds itself stated that if the defendant fails to give notice of intent to appeal, he will waive the "appeal of right" provisions of N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-979. Reynolds itself did not discuss the right to seek review by writ of certiorari. In addition, in State v. Walden, 52 N.C. app. 125, 278 S.E.2d 265 (1981) - which was issued long before Harris - the Court of Appeals acknowledged that the defendant failed to give notice of intent to appeal, but treated the appeal as a petition for writ of certiorari and reviewed the merits of the suppression issue. Third, the Court of Appeals has "broad discretion" to issue a writ of certiorari, State v. Ledbetter, 371 N.C. 192, 197, 814 S.E.2d 39, 42 (2018), which arguably includes circumstances in which the defendant did not give notice of intent to appeal the denial of a motion to suppress. Although the Court of Appeals denied review in Perez, the Supreme Court of North Carolina will be considering a similar argument in *State v. Killette*, No. 379PA18-2.
- iv. If the defendant pleads guilty without a plea agreement with the State, the defendant does not need to give notice of intent to appeal the denial of the motion to suppress. *State v. Jonas*, 2021-NCCOA-660.
- b. Make sure that <u>after pleading guilty</u>, the defendant gave notice of appeal from the judgment. If the defendant preserved the suppression issue, but failed to give notice of appeal from the judgment, the appeal will be dismissed. *State v. Miller*, 205 N.C. App. 724, 725, 696 S.E.2d 542, 543 (2010).
  - i. If the defendant failed to give notice of appeal from the judgment or the notice of appeal is defective, you can file a petition for writ of certiorari on the ground that the right to prosecute the appeal was lost by failure to take timely action. *State v. Sutton*, 232 N.C. App. 667, 672, 754 S.E.2d 464, 467 (2014).
- c. Please note that the type of motion that can be appealed in this context is not just a motion to suppress evidence based on constitutional violations. If the defendant pled guilty, he may also appeal the denial a motion to suppress that is based on violations of the N.C. Rules of Evidence. *State v. Tate*, 300 N.C. 180, 184, 265 S.E.2d 223, 226 (1980); *State v. King*, 214 N.C. App. 114, 119, 713 S.E.2d 772, 776 (2011).
- 6. Denial of a Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(e)):
  - a. If the defendant made the motion to withdraw prior to sentencing, the appellate court must apply the "fair and just reason" standard. *State v. Handy*, 326 N.C. 532, 391 S.E.2d 159 (1990). If the defendant made the motion after sentencing, the appellate

- court must apply the "manifest injustice" standard. Id.
- b. The defendant has the right to appeal a motion to withdraw made either before or after sentencing. State v. Dickens, 299 N.C. 76, 79, 261 S.E.2d 183, 185 (1980); State v. Zubiena, \_\_\_\_ N.C. App. \_\_\_\_, 796 S.E.2d 40 (2016); State v. Salvetti, 202 N.C. App. 18, 25, 687 S.E.2d 698, 703 (2010).
- c. Additional information on withdrawal motions may be found on the <u>North Carolina</u> Criminal Law Blog.
- d. Defendants generally have a difficult time prevailing in appeals involving withdrawal motions. However, there are three cases in which the defendants won. All of these cases involved pre-sentencing withdrawal motions:
  - i. *State v. Handy*, 326 N.C. 532, 391 S.E.2d 159 (1990): The defendant moved to withdraw his guilty plea to first-degree murder less than twenty-four hours after he pled guilty. In vacating the guilty plea, the Supreme Court noted that the State had made "no argument that it would be substantially prejudiced by" allowing the defendant to withdraw the plea. *Id.* at 542, 391 S.E.2d at 164.
  - ii. State v. Deal, 99 N.C. App. 456, 393 S.E.2d 317 (1990): The Court of Appeals vacated the defendant's guilty plea to armed robbery because the defendant had "low intellectual abilities" and was "laboring under a basic misunderstanding of the guilty plea process" when he pled guilty. *Id.* at 464, 393 S.E.2d at 321.
  - iii. State v. Suites, 109 N.C. App. 373, 427 S.E.2d 318 (1993): The Court of Appeals vacated the defendant's guilty plea to accessory before the fact to second-degree murder because the principal was acquitted of second-degree murder and, under North Carolina precedent, the acquittal of the principal required the acquittal of any individual charged or convicted as an accessory.
- 7. Sex offender registration and satellite-based monitoring (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 7A-27(b)):
  - a. If the trial court imposed an order requiring the defendant to register as a sex offender or submit to satellite-based monitoring, you can challenge the order on direct appeal even though the defendant pled guilty. *See State v. Pell*, 211 N.C. App. 376, 377, 712 S.E.2d 189, 190 (2011) (holding that, under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 7A-27, the defendant had a right to appeal an order requiring him to register as a sex offender)
  - b. If you challenge such an order, make sure the defendant gave written notice of appeal as required in civil cases by Appellate Rule 3. *State v. Brooks*, 204 N.C. App. 193, 195, 693 S.E.2d 204, 206 (2010). If the defendant did not give written notice of appeal, you will need to raise the argument in a petition for writ of certiorari.
  - c. For information on sex offender registration and satellite-based monitoring, be sure to review the <u>flow chart</u> prepared by Jamie Markham.
  - d. For information on hearings under *Grady v. North Carolina*, 191 L. Ed. 2d 459 (2015), please review the <u>packet</u> prepared by Andy DeSimone and Jim Grant under "Sex Offender Registration and Monitoring."
- 8. Denial of a motion for appropriate relief (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1422):
  - a. A defendant has the right to appeal motions for appropriate relief that are filed in

conjunction with guilty pleas. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1422; *State v. Kittrell*, No. COA08-988, slip op. at 5 (N.C. Ct. App. Jun. 2, 2009) (unpublished). However, the defendant must give notice of appeal not only from the final judgment, but also from the denial of the motion for appropriate relief in order to get merits review on the issues raised in the motion for appropriate relief. *State v. Hagans*, 188 N.C. App. 799, 805-06, 656 S.E.2d 704, 708-09 (2008).

- 9. Jury trial on some charges, guilty plea to other charges:
  - a. The Court of Appeals appears to reach inconsistent results when the defendant goes to trial on some charges, but pleads guilty to other charges. See State v. Young, 120 N.C. App. 456, 459, 462 S.E.2d 683, 685 (1995) (reviewing an argument about the substantive offense, but holding that the defendant had no right to appeal a conviction for attaining habitual felon status because he pled guilty to the charge); but see State v. Glover, 156 N.C. App. 139, 575 S.E.2d 835 (2003) (reviewing the plea colloquy for one of the defendant's convictions as well as other issues the defendant had the right to appeal); State v. Bailey, 157 N.C. App. 80, 577 S.E.2d 683 (2003) (same). Even though the case law is mixed on this point, you can still raise defects in the plea hearing in a petition for writ of certiorari in addition to a brief. See #23 on p. 20.

Guilty plea appeals involving DWI convictions: Almost all of the arguments described above involving sentencing do not apply to DWI cases. See below for a discussion of these issues in DWI cases.

- 10. If you are assigned to a guilty plea appeal involving a DWI conviction, be aware that many of the provisions of N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444 do not apply to DWI cases.
  - a. A defendant who pled guilty to DWI and received an aggravated sentence cannot challenge the evidence supporting the sentence under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(a1) because DWI is a misdemeanor and N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(a1) only applies to felonies. *State v. Shaw*, 236 N.C. App. 453, 454, 763 S.E.2d 161, 162 (2014).
  - b. A defendant who pled guilty to DWI cannot challenge the trial court's prior record level calculation, sentence disposition, or sentence duration under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(a2) because the statute only applies to defendants sentenced under Structured Sentencing. *State v. Shaw*, 236 N.C. App. at 454, 763 S.E.2d at 162. Defendants convicted of DWI are sentenced under a different statutory scheme under Chapter 20 of the North Carolina General Statutes. *See id.*; N.C. Gen. Stat. § 20-179.
  - c. If the State failed to give notice of an aggravating factor or the trial court improperly imposed an aggravated sentence, erroneously calculated the defendant's prior record level, or issued a sentence that was too long, you should raise the arguments in a petition for writ of certiorari. If you file a petition for writ of certiorari, be sure to assert that the Court of Appeals has the authority to issue a writ of certiorari to review the argument under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(e) and *State v. Ledbetter*, 371 N.C. 192, 197, 814 S.E.2d 39, 42 (2018).

**Issues that <u>cannot</u>** be raised on direct appeal: In general, a defendant who pled guilty cannot raise issues that are not listed in N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(a1), (a2), and (e).

- 11. The following issues are examples of arguments that cannot be raised on direct appeal from a guilty plea.
  - a. Whether the defendant's sentence violates double jeopardy. *State v. Rinehart*, 195 N.C. App. 774, 776, 673 S.E.2d 769, 771 (2009).
  - b. Whether the defendant's sentence constitutes cruel and unusual punishment. *State v. Jamerson*, 161 N.C. App. 527, 529, 588 S.E.2d 545, 547 (2003).
  - c. Whether the trial court improperly granted the State's motion to continue. *State v. Moore*, 156 N.C. App. 693, 695, 577 S.E.2d 354, 355 (2003).
  - d. Whether the trial court improperly denied the defendant's motion to dismiss the criminal charge. *State v. Demaio*, 216 N.C. App. 558, 565, 716 S.E.2d 863, 868 (2011); *State v. Smith*, 193 N.C. App. 739, 743, 668 S.E.2d 612, 614 (2008).
  - e. Whether the trial court imposed an improper condition of probation. *State v. Sale*, 232 N.C. App. 662, 665, 754 S.E.2d 474, 477 (2014).
  - f. Whether the trial court erroneously ordered the defendant to forfeit property. *State v. Royster*, 239 N.C. App. 196, 199, 768 S.E.2d 196, 198 (2015).
- 12. Although a defendant who pleads guilty may not raise the issues described above on direct appeal, the Court of Appeals has "broad discretion" to review those issues through a writ of certiorari. *State v. Ledbetter*, 371 N.C. 192, 197, 814 S.E.2d 39, 42 (2018). Therefore, if the issue is preserved and has merit, consider raising the issue in both a brief and petition for writ of certiorari.
  - a. Caution: Some of the arguments described above, such as violations of the protection against double jeopardy or the prohibition against cruel and unusual punishment, could undo the guilty plea if the arguments are successful. If that is the case, be sure to inform the client of the risks of undoing the guilty plea and get the client's written permission if the client still wants you to make the arguments.

**Issues that have traditionally been raised through a writ of certiorari:** Prior to *Ledbetter*, there were several issues that defendants who pled guilty could raise through a petition for writ of certiorari. See below for examples of these arguments.

# 13. Subject Matter Jurisdiction:

- a. Be sure to review the original charging document and determine whether it is proper:
  - i. If the defendant pled guilty based on an indictment, make sure the indictment contained all of the essential elements of the original charge. If the defendant pled guilty on an information, make sure <u>both</u> the defendant and the attorney signed the information as required by N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 15A-642(c) and 15A-644(b). A copy of an information is attached to this handout. (A pp 4-5)

- ii. Make sure that the client pled guilty to the offense described in the indictment or to any lesser-included offenses. In *State v. Moore*, No. COA04-1107, slip op. (N.C. Ct. App. May 3, 2005) (unpublished), the defendant was originally charged with statutory rape, but pled guilty to indecent liberties. The Court of Appeals vacated the defendant's guilty plea because the indictment did not support his conviction for indecent liberties, which was not a lesser-included offense of rape.
- iii. Two good resources on indictments are: (1) <u>The Criminal Indictment: Fatal Defect, Fatal Variance, and Amendment</u> by Jessica Smith at the UNC School of Government and (2) <u>2017 Update to Arrest Warrant and Indictment Forms</u> prepared by Jeffrey B. Welty at the UNC School of Government.
- b. There are different ways to present jurisdictional arguments in guilty plea appeals:
  - i. If you make a jurisdictional argument in conjunction with one or more of the arguments listed in N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(a1), (a2), and (e), you can present all of the arguments in a brief. See State v. Absher, 329 N.C. 264, 265 n.1, 404 S.E.2d 848, 849 n.1 (1991) (stating that a jurisdictional challenge "may be made in the appellate division only if and when the case is properly pending before the appellate division"); State v. Jamerson, 161 N.C. App. 527, 529, 588 S.E.2d 545, 547 (2003).
  - ii. If the <u>only</u> issue that you raise is a jurisdictional argument, you should consider including the argument in a brief and petition for writ of certiorari.
    - 1. As grounds for review in the brief, you can cite *State v. Frink*, 177 N.C. App. 144, 147, 627 S.E.2d 472, 474 (2006); and *State v. Brooks*, No. COA07-940, slip op. at 3 (N.C. Ct. App. May 20, 2008) (unpublished).
    - 2. As grounds for review in the petition for writ of certiorari, you can cite N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(e); *State v. Ledbetter*, 371 N.C. 192, 197, 814 S.E.2d 39, 42 (2018).
    - 3. Be aware that the Court of Appeals dismissed the guilty plea appeal in *State v. Hostetler*, No. COA16-680, slip op. at 4 (N.C. Ct. App. Mar. 7, 2017) (unpublished), because the only issue raised in the appeal involved a defective indictment. However, the indictment was challenged only in a brief. By contrast, the Court reversed the guilty plea in *State v. Culbertson*, \_\_\_ N.C. App. \_\_\_, 805 S.E.2d 511 (2017) based on a defective indictment that was challenged both in a brief and a petition for writ of certiorari.
  - iii. You could also consider raising the issue in an application for writ of habeas corpus. Although this would be an unconventional method of raising a jurisdictional defect, the Supreme Court expressed approval of this approach in *State v. Pennell*, 367 N.C. 466, 472, 758 S.E.2d 383, 387 (2014). If you pursue this option, you can file the application for writ of habeas corpus with "any one of the justices or judges of the appellate division." N.C. Gen. Stat. § 17-6. When a judge has evidence that a person is being illegally restrained, it is the "duty" of the judge to issue a writ of habeas corpus.

# 14. Knowing, voluntary, and intelligent plea:

- a. Be sure to review the trial court's plea colloquy with the defendant. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1022(a) provides a list of things the trial court must discuss with the defendant before it can accept a guilty plea. If the trial court did not ask the defendant about any of the points listed in N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1022(a), the guilty plea must be vacated. *State v. Glover*, 156 N.C. App. 139, 575 S.E.2d 835 (2003); *State v. Harris*, 14 N.C. App. 268, 270, 188 S.E.2d 1, 3 (1972); *State v. Vanderburg*, 13 N.C. App. 248, 249, 184 S.E.2d 915, 916 (1971).
- b. If the trial court omitted some of the warnings from N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1022(a), it will likely be difficult to show that the plea was involuntary. See State v. Richardson, 61 N.C. App. 284, 289, 300 S.E.2d 826, 829 (1983) (holding that the trial court's failure to inform the defendant of the mandatory minimum sentence could not have reasonably affected the defendant's decision to plead guilty). Still, be sure to review the information the trial court provided regarding the sentence. The trial court must inform the defendant of the maximum possible sentence, which is "that which could be imposed if the defendant were in the highest criminal history category and the offense were aggravated." State v. Lucas, 353 N.C. 568, 596, 548 S.E.2d 712, 730 (2001), overruled on other grounds by State v. Allen, 359 N.C. 425, 615 S.E.2d 256 (2005). The defendant's guilty plea was vacated in State v. Reynolds, 218 N.C. App. 433, 437, 721 S.E.2d 333, 336 (2012), where the trial court informed the defendant that he could face a maximum sentence of 168 months, but then sentenced him to a maximum sentence of 171 months. By contrast, the defendant's guilty plea was upheld in State v. Bullocks, 258 N.C. App. 72, 811 S.E.2d 713 (2018), even though the trial court misinformed the defendant about the maximum sentence for one of his charges because the defendant was properly informed about the maximum and minimum sentences for other, more serious charges that were consolidated with the other charge and the defendant received the sentence he agreed to as part of a plea agreement.
- c. Be sure to focus on deficiencies that would violate the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause. In order to satisfy the Due Process Clause, the trial court must inform the defendant about (1) the privilege against self-incrimination; (2) the right to trial by jury; and (3) the right to confront one's accusers. *Boykin v. Alabama*, 395 U.S. 238, 242, 23 L. Ed. 2d 274, 279 (1969); *see also State v. Sinclair*, 301 N.C. 193, 197, 270 S.E.2d 418, 421 (1980) (observing that a guilty plea "involves the waiver of various fundamental rights such as the privilege against self-incrimination, the right of confrontation and the right to trial by jury").
- d. Even if the judge conducts a proper colloquy, you should consider raising a voluntariness claim if there is evidence of coercion in your case. In *State v. Benfield*, 264 N.C. 75, 77, 140 S.E.2d 706, 708 (1965), the court reversed a guilty plea despite the defendant's statement that his guilty plea was freely made where the trial judge advised the defendant the jury would probably return a guilty verdict and that the judge was inclined to give the defendant a long sentence based on a guilty verdict. Similarly, in *State v. Pait*, 81 N.C. App. 286, 288, 343 S.E.2d 573, 575 (1986), the trial judge conducted a thorough colloquy with the defendant. However, the court vacated the defendant's guilty plea because the trial judge was "visibly agitated"

when the defendant entered a not guilty plea, directed the defense attorney to enter an "honest plea," and the defendant feared the judge would be hard on him if he did not plead guilty. *Id*.

# 15. Factual basis for the guilty plea:

- a. Be sure the State presented a sufficient factual basis for <u>each</u> element of <u>each</u> charge. "[G]uilty pleas must be substantiated in fact as prescribed by" N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1022(c). State v. Agnew, 361 N.C. 333, 335, 643 S.E.2d 581, 583 (2007). "If the evidence contained in the record does not support defendant's guilty plea, then the judgment based thereon must be vacated." State v. Brooks, 105 N.C. App. 413, 417, 413 S.E.2d 312, 314 (1992).
- b. If the defendant was convicted of multiple charges arising out of a single event or transaction, be sure to determine whether the factual basis supported each conviction. *See State v. Robinson*, \_\_\_\_, N.C. App. \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, 852 S.E.2d 915, 919 (2020) (arresting judgment on assault convictions because "nothing in the State's factual summary suggests that there was a distinct interruption that would support multiple assault convictions").
- c. The lack of a sufficient factual basis is arguably preserved for appellate review even if the defendant stipulated to or did not object to the State's factual basis.
  - i. In *State v. Canady*, 153 N.C. App. 455, 570 S.E.2d 262 (2002); and *State v. Kimble*, 141 N.C. App. 144, 539 S.E.2d 342 (2000), the Court of Appeals held that the defendants' factual basis arguments were not preserved because the defendants failed to object to the State's factual summaries. However, in *State v. Agnew*, 361 N.C. 333, 337, 643 S.E.2d 581, 584 (2007), the Supreme Court specifically acknowledged that the defendant stipulated to the existence of a factual basis, and, yet, it reversed the defendant's guilty plea based on an insufficient factual basis despite the stipulation. *Agnew* is consistent with case law on stipulations. "Stipulations as to questions of law are generally held invalid and ineffective, and not binding upon the courts, either trial or appellate." *State v. Prevette*, 39 N.C. App. 470, 472, 250 S.E.2d 682, 683 (1979). The sufficiency of the factual basis for a plea is arguably a question of law that cannot be the subject of a stipulation.
  - ii. Additionally, according to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1446(d)(16), an error in the entry of a guilty plea may be argued on appeal even if the defendant did not object to any errors during the plea hearing. Although other provisions under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1446 have been held unconstitutional because they conflicted with Appellate Rule 10, *State v. Bennett*, 308 N.C. 530, 535, 302 S.E.2d 786, 790 (1983), subsection (d)(16) arguably does not conflict with Appellate Rule 10. *See, e.g., State v. Mumford*, 364 N.C. 394, 403 699 S.E.2d 911, 917 (2010) (holding that N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1446(d)(18) was not unconstitutional because it did not conflict with Appellate Rule 10); *see also State v. Artis*, 174 N.C. App. 668, 622 S.E.2d 204 (2005) (holding that the trial court's failure to engage in a plea colloquy under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1022 was preserved even without objection based on N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1446(d)(16)).

- iii. If the State asserts that a factual basis argument is not preserved, you should respond and argue that the argument is preserved under *Agnew* and N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1446(d)(16).
- d. Be sure to consider the following factors in assessing the sufficiency of the factual basis for a guilty plea:
  - i. The defendant's admission of guilt: "[W]hether or not defendant admits to the crime is not part of the information the trial court should consider under the factual basis prong." *State v. Chandler*, 376 N.C. 361, 368, 851 S.E.2d 874, 879 (2020).
  - ii. Transcript of Plea: A transcript of plea on its own does not provide a factual basis for a guilty plea. *State v. Sinclair*, 301 N.C. 193, 199, 270 S.E.2d 418, 421 (1980).
  - iii. Stipulation: In *State v. Agnew*, 361 N.C. 333, 337, 643 S.E.2d 581, 584 (2007), the Supreme Court held that a stipulation to a factual basis could not support a guilty plea because it "gave the trial court no additional substantive information about the case . . . ." *Id*.
    - iv. Indictment: The indictment in *Agnew* was also not sufficient to support the factual basis because it "simply stated the charge and did not provide any further factual description of defendant's particular alleged conduct." *Id.* In *State v. Flint*, 199 N.C. App. 709, 728, 682 S.E.2d 443, 454 (2009), the Court of Appeals rejected an argument that indictments supported the factual basis for a guilty plea because it was "not clear if they were, in fact, before the trial court during defendant's plea."
    - v. Other evidence, such as statements of the victim or victims or evidence admitted during a trial on other charges, cannot form the factual basis for the defendant's guilty plea if the evidence is admitted <u>after</u> the court accepts the defendant's guilty plea. *State v. Lewis*, No. COA12-1056, 2013 N.C. App. LEXIS 362 (Apr. 16, 2013) (unpublished).
- e. The State might argue that the defendant is not prejudiced by the lack of a sufficient factual basis. For a discussion of why factual basis arguments should not be subject to prejudice arguments, please review the petition for discretionary review in <u>State v.</u> Graves, No. 33P19.

# 16. Benefit of the bargain:

- a. If the defendant cannot get the benefit of the plea agreement, he may challenge the plea agreement through a petition for writ of certiorari.
  - i. The Court of Appeals will likely vacate the plea agreement if portions of the agreement were unenforceable and the defendant was not aware the agreement was not binding. See, e.g., State v. Demaio, 216 N.C. App. 558, 565, 716 S.E.2d 863, 868 (2011) (agreement reserving right to appeal denial of motion to dismiss and motion to limit expert testimony improper); State v. Smith, 193 N.C. App. 739, 743, 668 S.E.2d 612, 614 (2008) (defendant could not challenge denial of motion to dismiss habitual felon indictment in guilty plea appeal); State v. Jones, 161 N.C. App. 60, 62, 588 S.E.2d 5, 8 (2003) (defendant could not appeal habeas corpus motion after pleading guilty), rev'd

in part on other grounds, 358 N.C. 473, 598 S.E.2d 125 (2004).

- b. If the defendant pled guilty with the understanding that he might not be able to raise some claims on appeal, the plea agreement will likely be considered valid:
  - i. In *State v. Ross*, 369 N.C. 393, 794 S.E. 2d 289 (2016), the Supreme Court held that the plea agreement was valid because the defendant understood that he might not be able to seek review of pretrial motions he had filed. Similarly, in *State v. Tinney*, 229 N.C. App. 616, 622, 748 S.E.2d 730, 735 (2013), the Court of Appeals held that the plea agreement was not invalid because the defendant had "ample notice" that a provision in the agreement purporting to preserve the right to appeal a transfer order was unenforceable.

# 17. Right to counsel:

- a. If the trial court allowed the defendant to waive his right to an attorney at the plea hearing without conducting a proper colloquy, be sure to determine whether the trial court complied with N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1242. If the court failed to comply with the statute, the defendant can challenge the waiver of counsel in a petition for writ of certiorari. *See State v. Allen*, No. COA14-152, slip op. (N.C. Ct. App. Oct. 7, 2014) (unpublished).
- b. If the defendant was not represented by an attorney during a sentencing hearing that occurred after the defendant pled guilty, the defendant can raise the lack of counsel in a petition for writ of certiorari. *State v. Rouse*, 234 N.C. App. 92, 95, 757 S.E.2d 690, 692 (2014).

# 18. Competency:

a. If there was a question about the defendant's competency to plead guilty, be sure to determine whether the trial court followed the proper procedures under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1001, et. seq. According to State v. O'Neal, 116 N.C. App. 390, 395, 448 S.E.2d 306, 310 (1994), the question of the defendant's competency to plead guilty can be raised in a petition for writ of certiorari.

**Other issues:** There are many other arguments that might warrant review by writ of certiorari. See below for a list of possible issues.

# 19. Probation:

- a. If the trial court imposed probation, be sure that the sentence was stayed as required by N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1451. If probation was not stayed, you should consider filing a petition for writ of supersedeas early in the appeal to enforce the stay.
- b. Be sure to review the limitations on probationary sentences:
  - i. A defendant sentenced to community punishment for a felony cannot be placed on probation for not less than 12 nor more than 30 months. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1343.2(d)(3).
  - ii. A defendant sentenced to intermediate punishment for a felony cannot be

- placed on probation for not less than 18 nor more than 36 months. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1343.2(d)(4).
- iii. If the court finds that a longer term of probation is necessary, it may impose a longer period up to five years of probation. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1342(a). A sample judgment imposing probation is included in the appendix. (A pp 7-10)
- c. If the probationary sentence is too long, you should challenge the sentence as part of the guilty plea appeal. If you do not challenge the probationary sentence as part of the defendant's appeal from his guilty plea, the defendant might be barred from challenging the sentence at a later time. *See State v. Rush*, 158 N.C. App. 738, 740, 582 S.E.2d 37, 39 (2003) (rejecting argument about an improper probationary sentence because the defendant failed to file a petition for writ of certiorari when the sentence was imposed).
- d. If there are other issues that you can raise on direct appeal, such as an erroneous prior record level calculation, add the argument about the probationary sentence to the brief. See State v. Branch, 194 N.C. App. 173, 178, 669 S.E.2d 18, 21 (2008) (reviewing the denial of a motion to suppress and an improper probationary term as part of a direct appeal in a guilty plea case). If there are no other issues that can be raised on direct appeal, you can challenge the probationary sentence in a petition for writ of certiorari.
- e. If the trial court imposed special probation, which includes a period of confinement as part of a split sentence, be sure to review the terms of special probation:
  - i. Special probation is automatically stayed if the defendant gives notice of appeal. N.C. Gen. Stat. 15A-1451. If you determine from the court file that the defendant received a term of special probation that was not stayed, you should file a petition for writ of supersedeas early in the appeal to enforce the stay. *State v. Stover*, 200 N.C. App. 506, 510, 685 S.E.2d 127, 131 (2009).
  - ii. A split sentence imposed as part of special probation cannot be more than one fourth of the maximum sentence. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1351(a).

# 20. Transfer from juvenile court to superior court:

- a. A juvenile may not challenge a transfer order on direct appeal if the juvenile pled guilty in superior court. *State v. Evans*, 184 N.C. App. 736, 739, 646 S.E.2d 859, 861 (2007). However, the juvenile may nevertheless challenge the transfer order through a petition for writ of certiorari. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(e); *State v. Ledbetter*, 371 N.C. 192, 197, 814 S.E.2d 39, 42 (2018).
- b. The superior court is limited to reviewing the district court's transfer decision for abuse of discretion. *In re E.S.*, 191 N.C. App. 568, 573, 663 S.E.2d 475, 478 (2008). This means that the superior court may not re-weigh the evidence or decide which factors are more important. *Id.* Instead, a superior court's ruling on the question of transfer is subject to reversal if the superior court failed to properly apply the abuse of discretion standard of review. *Id.*

### 21. Restitution:

a. When you review the restitution order, make sure that the State presented some

evidence to support the order.

- i. An unsworn statement of the prosecutor, such as a restitution worksheet, is not evidence and "cannot support the amount of restitution recommended." *State v. Buchanan*, 108 N.C. App. 338, 341, 423 S.E.2d 819, 821 (1992).
- ii. In State v. Hillard, \_\_\_ N.C. App. \_\_\_, \_\_\_, 811 S.E.2d 702, 704-05 (2018), the Court of Appeals held that "written victim impact statements, together with the oral victim impact statements, expense worksheet, and accompanying documentation," constituted "sufficient competent evidence" to support a restitution order. Other unpublished opinions suggest that a victim impact statement may be sufficient to support a restitution order. See State v. Durham, No. COA09-78, slip. op. (N.C. Ct. App. Jul. 7, 2009); State v. Coleman, No. COA08-136, slip op. (N.C. Ct. App. Dec. 15, 2008); State v. McGill, No. COA05-1071, slip op. (N.C. Ct. App. Jun. 6, 2006).
- b. An improper restitution order in a guilty plea case may be challenged in a petition for writ of certiorari. *State v. Griffin*, No. COA17-195, slip op. at 3 (N.C. Ct. App. Aug. 15, 2017) (unpublished).
- c. <u>Caution:</u> Be aware that the new version of the AOC form for written transcripts of plea includes a box in the plea agreement section for defendants to stipulate to restitution. (A p 12) As a result of this change, there is a risk that a successful challenge to the restitution order will undo the plea agreement. However, the Court of Appeals recently held that a stipulation to restitution as part of the plea agreement "is not an express agreement to pay that particular restitution as a condition of the plea agreement." *State v. Murphy*, \_\_\_\_, N.C. App. \_\_\_\_\_, 819 S.E.2d 604, 609 (2018).

# 22. Court costs and attorney's fees:

- a. Be sure to determine whether the trial court properly calculated court costs and attorney's fees. A schedule for court costs can be found on the <u>Judicial Branch website</u>. There is an appointment fee of \$60 when an attorney is appointed to represent an indigent defendant. N.C. Gen. Stat. § 7A-455.1. According to the <u>IDS fee schedule</u>, the rate for Class B1 through D felonies is \$85 per hour. The rate for all other offenses is \$75 per hour.
- b. Be sure to determine whether the trial court gave the defendant notice and an opportunity to be heard regarding attorney's fees. "Absent a colloquy directly with the defendant on this issue, the requirements of notice and opportunity to be heard will be satisfied only if there is other evidence in the record demonstrating that the defendant received notice, was aware of the opportunity to be heard on the issue, and chose not to be heard." *State v. Friend*, \_\_\_\_, N.C. App. \_\_\_\_, 809 S.E.2d 902, 907 (2018).

How to raise arguments in a petition for writ of certiorari: There are a few different ways to seek certiorari review in a guilty plea appeal.

23. If you have an appeal with one or more arguments that cannot be raised on direct appeal, consider these strategies:

- a. If one or more issues can be raised on direct appeal, but others cannot, include all of the arguments in a brief. In addition, file a petition for writ of certiorari with the issues that cannot be raised on direct appeal. Be sure to cite *State v. Ledbetter*, 371 N.C. 192, 814 S.E.2d 39 (2018) as authority for the right to seek certiorari review.
- b. If none of the issues that you identify can be raised on direct appeal, you should consider including all the issues in both a brief and a petition for writ of certiorari. This strategy was followed in <u>State v. Joe</u>, No. <u>COA15-878</u>, slip op. (N.C. Ct. App. May 10, 2016) (unpublished), and resulted in the defendant's guilty plea being vacated based on the lack of a sufficient factual basis.
- c. You could also file an *Anders* brief as part of the direct appeal and then a petition for writ of certiorari for any meritorious issues that cannot be raised on direct appeal.

**Strategies for avoiding dismissal:** There are two common situations in which the State will move to dismiss guilty plea appeals. See below for possible responses.

## 24. Anders Briefs:

- a. If you file an *Anders* brief in a case in which the defendant pled guilty and stipulated to his prior record level, the State might move to dismiss the appeal. The State will likely rely on *State v. Hamby*, 129 N.C. App. 366, 499 S.E.2d 195 (1998). You should consider the following strategies:
  - i. When you prepare the record on appeal, consider including a proposed issue on appeal requesting that the Court of Appeals review the case for prejudicial error under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967).
  - ii. File a response to the motion to dismiss. As part of the response, be sure to cite the proposed issue on appeal and assert that the Court of Appeals is required under the *Anders* decision and *State v. Kinch*, 314 N.C. 99, 331 S.E.2d 665 (1985), to review the defendant's appeal for prejudicial error. You should also point out that the Court of Appeals rejected similar motions to dismiss in the several unpublished opinions, including *State v. Morrison*, No. COA16-942, slip op. (N.C. Ct. App. Mar. 7, 2017); *State v. Taylor*, No. COA11-1535, slip op. (N.C. Ct. App. Aug. 21, 2012); and *State v. Clemons*, No. COA11-1034, slip op. (N.C. Ct. App. May 15, 2012). Finally, you should assert that even in *Hamby*, the Court of Appeals recognized that it was required to "examine any issue that defendant could have possibly raised." *Hamby*, 129 N.C. App. at 369, 499 S.E.2d at 197.

# 25. Withdrawal of Guilty Plea:

- a. If you file a brief arguing that the trial court improperly denied the defendant's post-sentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea, the State might file a motion to dismiss on the ground that the defendant has no right to appeal. The logic of the State's argument is that a post-sentencing motion to withdraw is a motion for appropriate relief for which there is no right to appeal.
- b. You should file a response asserting that the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals have held that a defendant has the right to appeal a post-sentencing motion to

withdraw. See State v. Handy, 326 N.C. 532, 535, 391 S.E.2d 159, 160 (1990) ("Defendant may appeal as of right since the trial judge denied his motion to withdraw his plea of guilty."); State v. Dickens, 299 N.C. 76, 79, 261 S.E.2d 183, 185 (1980) (holding that the defendant was entitled to appeal under N.C. Gen. Stat. § 15A-1444(e) after the trial court denied his post-sentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea); State v. Zubiena, 251 N.C. App. 477, 482, 796 S.E.2d 40, 45 (2016) (same); State v. Salvetti, 202 N.C. App. 18, 25, 687 S.E.2d 698, 703 (2010) (same).

- A n 1 -

STATE C	OF NO	ORTH C	AROLINA		1		File No.			
			County							t Of Justice Court Division
STATE VERSUS lame And Address Of Defendant						WORKSHEET PRIOR RECORD LEVEL FOR FELONY SENTENCING AND PRIOR CONVICTION LEVEL				
Social Security No. SID No.					_	OR MISDE STRUC Offenses Co	TURED S	ENTENC	ING)	
Race	Sex		DOB			(* 5.				340.14, 15A-1340.2
			I. SCORING	PRIOR RE	COR	D/FELONY	SENTENCIN			
NUMBER	₹			TYPE				FAC	TORS	POINTS
		Prior Felor	ny Class A Convid	ction				X	(10	
		Prior Felor	ny Class B1 Conv	iction				X	( 9	
		Prior Felor	ny Class B2 or C	or D Conviction	n			×	6	
		Prior Felor	ny Class E or F or	G Conviction	1			X	. 4	
		Prior Felor	ny Class H or I Co	onviction				X	2	
		Prior Class	s A1 or 1 Misdem	eanor Convict	ion (	see note on revers	se)	X	. 1	
								SUBTO	DTAL	
			ed probation, parconment; or on on on on File No.	escape from	a co	rrectional insti (if other than NC)	tution.	TOI	-	
			II. CLASSIFYI	NG PRIOR	REC	ORD/CONV	ICTION LEV		AL P	
	ı	MISDEME						ELONY		
	encing for ted on the	a misdemea reverse and	anor, total the numb d select the corresp		NC cor	Points 0 - 1	ting for a felony, total points dete Level	locate the pri ermined in Se	or record le ction I abov	vel which ve.
Convictions	_	eı	PRIOR .			2 - 5 6 - 9	III		CORD	
1 - 4	I	— con	IVICTION			10 - 13	IV		LEVEL '	
5+	III		LEVEL F			14 - 17 18+	V			
		 ermined the	e number of prior				ds the prior cor			points and the herein.
to be and the level to be as shown above.  In making this determination, the Court has relied upon the State's evidence of the defendant's prior convictions from a computer printout of DCI-CCH.						evidence of the printout of DC	ne defendant's I-CCH.	prior convic	tions from	
Computer	printout	01 DOI-001	1.			the Court has a reasonable	relied on the j doubt or the d	ii point unde ury's determ efendant's a	i G.S. 15 <i>F</i> nination of idmission	A-1340.14(b)(7), this issue beyon to this issue.
_			nts of the present of			•				
to a North Ca	arolina offe	nse and that	ed in Section V on the the North Carolina of	classification ass	signed	to this offense	in Section V is co	orrect.		s substantially simil
The Court fin			ne defendant have st ding Judge (Type Or P	<u> </u>	COUL	<u>.</u>	Victions, points a Presiding Judge	ina recora ieve	#I.	
				•						
AOC-CR-600B.	Rev 6/12	<b>)</b>			(Ove	er)				

AOC-CR-600B, Rev. 6/12 © 2012 Administrative Office of the Courts

# - A p 2 -

The prosecutor and defense counsel, or the defendant, if not represented by counsel, stipulate to the information set out in Sections I

and V of information		nd agree w	vith the defenda	int's prior record	level or p	orior conviction lev	el as set out ir	Section II based on the	
Date		Signature Of	Prosecutor		Date	<b>,</b>	Signature Of Def	ense Counsel Or Defendant	
			(For C			FICATION On Or After Feb.	1, 2011)		
	of the case t) indicates t		form required					au of Investigation (the DC	I-CCH
1. The G.S stor 2. The a sa	e defendant S. 15A-266.4 red in the St e defendant ample of the	is <b>NOT</b> red tor (ii) a sa tate DNA d <b>IS</b> required defendan IA Databas	quired to provid ample of the de atabase. d to provide a D t's DNA has no	fendant's DNA ha NNA sample for th t previously been sly obtained and	as previonis conviduos no obtaine	ously been obtaine ction because (i) the d and the defenda	ed and the defe the offense is c ant's DNA reco IA sample and	e is not covered by endant's DNA record is curr overed by G.S. 15A-266.4 rd has not previously been record have been expung	and (ii) stored
		Traine on the							
						IVICTION			
NOTE: misdemea 20-138.11	The only mis	demeanor o vehicle [G.S	offenses under Ch S. 20-141.4(a2)] a	napter 20 that are a and, for sentencing	ssigned p	offenses committed	g prior record lev d on or after Dec	blic court record. rel for felony sentencing are ember 1, 1997, impaired drivir victions prior to October 1, 199	ng [G.S. 94, are
Source Code			Offenses			File No.	Date Of Conviction	County (Name of State if not NC)	Class
								_	
—————————————————————————————————————	⊥ \OC-CR-6∩	) Continua	tion for addition	al prior conviction	ns.				
_	Code: 1 - DC 2 - NC	CI	3 - AOC/Local 4 - AOC/Statew	5 - ID Bure		Date Pre	oared:		
400 CD 60	2 - NU		4 - AUC/StateW	iue 6 - Ottief		Prepared	By:		

•			TATE VE	ERSUS	File No		R5 340	6		
	e Of Delen	Debar	ah V	Dunlap Hatley						
15	i. <i>(If app</i> your p	<i>licable)</i> The prosec plea.	utor, you	r lawyer, and you have inforrhed me th				ns and	cond	itions of
	<del></del>	The de	Genda	expressly retains t	و د:	Lt to	Appeal.	He		
		court's pro								
		Chuse and	4 5 6	plea of exilty is a			4	1:50	<u> </u>	
		to Appen	Hal	decision pursuant to	651	SAL	179(6)			
		***************************************								
								····		The state of the state of the state of
_		MAN		1				Mrs	)	
Pros	eculor's Ini	llais: Y		Defense Counsel's Initials: PLEAS		D	efendant's Initials: .	T E		
/	Plea*	File Number	Count No.(s)	Offense(s)		Date Of Offense	G.S. No.	F/M	CL C	un. Maximum L. Punishment
	6	060853406	l	Oriving While Impaire	d	3/5/06	20-138.1	m		24 murt
							-			
							·			
		,								
						.,,	Activities the state of the sta			
							•			
*G	= Guilty					•				
	= No Con	1000		<b>h</b> .	14 m	on Hs		5.5.··.		
				S & SENTENCES (if any)						
NC	NOTE TO CLERK: If this column is checked this is an added offense or reduced charge.									

**‡NOTE:** Enter punishment class if different from underlying felony class (punishment class represents a status or enhancement).

AOC-CR-300, Page Two, Rev. 2/06 © 2006 Administrative Office of the Courts

- A p 4 -File No. STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA In The General Court Of Justice County ☐ District ☐ Superior Court Division **STATE VERSUS** Name And Address Of Defendant **INFORMATION** Race Sex Date Of Birth G.S. 15A-644 **Date Of Offense** Offense(s) G.S. No. CL. OR Date Range Of Offense I. II. III.

I. I, the undersigned prosecutor, upon information and belief allege that on or about the date(s) of offense shown above and in the county indicated above, the defendant named above unlawfully, willfully and feloniously did

II. I, the undersigned prosecutor, upon information and belief allege that on or about the date(s) of offense shown above and in the county indicated above, the defendant named above unlawfully, willfully and feloniously did

			<u>- A p 3 - </u>		
III.	I, the undersigned I in the county indica	prosecutor, upon informat	ion and belief allege that o	on or about the date(s) of offense shown above willfully and feloniously did	and
				Signature Of Prosecutor	
			WAIVER		
l,	the undersigned def e tried upon the abo	fendant, waive the finding		ctment into Court and agree that the case may	
Date		Signature Of Defendant		Signature Of Attorney For Defendant	
		•			

- A p 6 -

STATE	OF NO	ORTH CAR	ROLINA			File N	0.				
NOTE: [This for	n is to be ι	County used for (1) felony offe ny felony offense(s). U	nse(s) and (2) mise		at of Court ) that are cons	olidated	In The Gene	ral Court Superior (			ion
ior juagn	TOTAL WHITE OF	STATE VERS		57 B 777 677 677 677 677 677 677 677 677			PENDING S				
Name Of Defendar	nt	OTATE VERG	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				COMMUNIT				
					1 01110		TURED SE			רטות	
Race		Sex	Date Of B	irth	(1		Committed B G.S. 15A-13	efore Dec	. 1, 20	<b>09)</b> -1343.2	2, -1346
Attorney For State			Def. Found Not Indiger	Attorney	Attorney For D			Appoint Retaine	·	t Rptr Ii	nitials
The defendant	———	guilty ( pursuan	t to Alford) to	was found guil	ty by the Cou		found guilty by a		pled	no con	
File No.(s)	Off.		Offense Des	cription		Offense Date	G.S.	No.	F/M	CL.	*Pun. CL.
		class if different from termined, pursuant to						PRIOR			$\Box \mathbf{v}$
ine court i.	Any prio	r record level point	under G.S. 15A-	1340.14(b)(7) is b	ased on the			RECORD LEVEL:		□ïv	VI
□2		a reasonable doubt to prior record level						LLVLL.			
The Court (NO	E: Block	1 or 2 MUST be check	(ed.):								
		findings because the nination of aggrava					ences authorize	d under G.S	6. 15A-1	1340.1	7(c).
		gs of Extraordinary									
		nt has provided sub				5).					
		endant to be a habit nt pursuant to:				ata arima)	G.S. 50B-4.1 (de	omostio violo	200)		
	14-50.22			o) (drugs)G	.5. 14-5(0) (11	ate crime).		This finding		ed on t	the
		on of this issue bey									
		esignated offense(s tached AOC-603A,	, .								
_		aptioned offense(s)	•			~ —		of a minor.	-014-01	o, olue	, IWO.
	7 not four	and therefore im	poses the specia	al conditions of pr	obation set for	orth on the attac	hed AOC-CR-60				
9. finds tha			4	tor vehicle was							
		ense involving assa 5. 50B-1(b) with the		ing a trireat, or ar	i act delined	III G.S. 50B-1(a	), and the determ	uani nau a p	Dersona	ıı reiati	onsnip
		on or after Dec. 1, 20		e above-designate	ed offense(s)	involved crimina	al street gang ac	ctivity. G.S.	14-50.2	5.	
		endant refused to co									
		endant used or disp red on or after Dec. 1,					or an offense inv	olving assa	ult or a	nv of th	ne acts
		. 50B-1(a) committee				ng omia abase s	or arronerise inv	roiving assa	uit or u	ily Ol ti	10 4010
The Court, havi	ng consid	ered evidence, arg	uments of couns			, Orders that the	above offenses	s, if more tha	an one,	be	
for a minimum t		t and the defendan months		maximum term o	f	months in the	custody of the N	I.C. DAC			
		in at the expiration	<u> </u>			_ 1110111110 111 1110	oustody of the f	4.0. D/10.			
The defendant s	hall be g	iven credit for	days spe	ent in confinemen	t prior to the				rge(s)	to be a	pplied
toward the	sentenc	e imposed above.		ent required for sp			OC-CR-603A, F	Page Two.			
0				JSPENSION (							:!
probation for		set out below, the months.	execution of this	sentence is susp	ended and th	e defendant is p	olaced ons	supervised	ur	nsuper	visea
1. The Cou			shorter pe	eriod of probation	is necessary	than that which	is specified in C	G.S. 15A-13	43.2(d)		
		nat it is NOT approp	-		•		authority to impo	ose any of th	ne requ	iremer	nts in
		e) for community pobation shall begin					he expiration of	the centenc	o in ti	20 020	e below.
File No.	lod of pro		ffense	County	d ironi incarc	crationatt	Court	the sentenc		Date	e below.
4. The defe	endant sh	all comply with the	conditions set fo	rth in file number							
		all provide a DNA s				319 required)					<u> </u>
				MONETARY (							
		to the Clerk of Superbation officer.		otal Amount Due' ourt as follows: _	" shown belo	w, plus the prob	ation supervision	n fee, pursu	ant to a	sched	dule 
	ine	Restitution*	Attorney's Fees	Comm Serv Fee	EHA Fee	SBM Fee	Appt Fee/Mis		tal Amo	unt Due	<del></del> -
\$ \$		\$	\$ And Order (Init	\$	\$ 100 CD 611	\$	\$	\$			
		n Worksheet, Notic ause to waive costs						erice.			
	•	"Total Amount Due			_	_					'
				-		-					

# <u>-Ар7-</u>

# REGULAR CONDITIONS OF PROBATION - G.S. 15A-1343(b)

NOTE: Any probationary judgment may be extended pursuant to G.S. 15A-1342. The defendant shall: (1) Commit no criminal offense in any jurisdiction. (2) Possess no firearm, explosive device, or other deadly weapon listed in G.S. 14-269. (3) Remain gainfully and suitably employed or faithfully pursue a course of study or vocational training, that will equip the defendant for suitable employment, and abide by all rules of the institution. (4) Satisfy child support and family obligations, as required by the Court. If the defendant is on supervised probation, the defendant shall also: (5) Remain within the jurisdiction of the Court unless granted written permission to leave by the Court or the probation officer. (6) Report as directed by the Court or the probation officer to the officer at reasonable times and places and in a reasonable manner, permit the officer to visit at reasonable times, answer all reasonable inquiries by the officer and obtain prior approval from the officer for, and notify the officer of, any change in address or employment. (7) Notify the probation officer if the defendant fails to obtain or retain satisfactory employment. (8) At a time to be designated by the probation officer, visit with the probation officer a facility maintained by the Section of Prisons. 9. The Court finds that the defendant is responsible for acts of domestic violence and therefore makes the additional findings and orders on the attached AOC-CR-603A, Page Two, Side Two. SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION - G.S. 15A-1343(b1), 143B-704(c) The defendant shall also comply with the following special conditions which the Court finds are reasonably related to the defendant's rehabilitation: 10. Surrender the defendant's drivers license to the Clerk of Superior Court for transmittal/notification to the Division of Motor Vehicles and not operate a motor vehicle for a period of \_ or until relicensed by the Division of Motor Vehicles, whichever is later. 11. Submit at reasonable times to warrantless searches by a probation officer of the defendant's person, and of the defendant's vehicle and premises while the defendant is present, for the following purposes which are reasonably related to the defendant's probation supervision: stolen goods controlled substances contraband child pornography 12. Not use, possess, or control any illegal drug or controlled substance unless it has been prescribed for the defendant by a licensed physician and is in the original container with the prescription number affixed on it; not knowingly associate with any known or previously convicted users, possessors, or sellers of any illegal drugs or controlled substances; and not knowingly be present at or frequent any place where illegal drugs or controlled substances are sold, kept, or used. 13. Supply a breath, urine, and/or blood specimen for analysis of the possible presence of a prohibited drug or alcohol, when instructed by the defendant's probation officer. 14. Successfully pass the General Education Development Test (G.E.D.) during the first \_\_\_ \_\_\_ months of the period of probation. hours of community or reparation service during the first \_ \_\_\_\_ days of the period of probation, as directed by the judicial services coordinator and pay the fee prescribed by G.S. 143B-708. 

pursuant to the schedule set out under Monetary Conditions on the reverse. within \_ days of this Judgment and before beginning service. Report for initial evaluation by participate in all further evaluation, counseling, treatment, or education programs recommended as a result of that evaluation, and comply with all other therapeutic requirements of those programs until discharged. 17. Not assault, threaten, harass, be found in or on the premises or workplace of, or have any contact with \_ "Contact" includes any defendant-initiated contact, direct or indirect, by any means, including, but not limited to, telephone, personal contact, e-mail, pager, gift-giving, telefacsimile machine or through any other person, except 18. Other: 19. Comply with the Special Conditions Of Probation which are set forth on AOC-CR-603A, Page Two. ORDER OF COMMITMENT/APPEAL ENTRIES 1. It is ORDERED that the Clerk deliver two certified copies of this Judgment and Commitment to the sheriff or other qualified officer and that the officer cause the defendant to be delivered with these copies to the custody of the agency named on the reverse to serve the sentence imposed or until the defendant shall have complied with the conditions of release pending appeal. 2. The defendant gives notice of appeal from the judgment of the trial court to the Appellate Division. Appeal entries and any conditions of post conviction release are set forth on form AOC-CR-350. SIGNATURE OF JUDGE Date Name Of Presiding Judge (type or print) Signature Of Presiding Judge CERTIFICATION I certify that this Judgment and the attachment(s) marked below is a true and complete copy of the original which is on file in this case. 1. Appellate Entries (AOC-CR-350) 6. Judicial Findings As To Required DNA Sample (AOC-CR-319) 2. Judgment Suspending Sentence (AOC-CR-603C, Page Two) 7. Judicial Findings And Order For Sex Offenders - Suspended (additional conditions of probation) Sentence (AOC-CR-615, Side Two) 3. Felony Judgment Findings Of Aggravating And Mitigating Factors 8. Additional File No.(s) And Offense(s) (AOC-CR-626) (AOC-CR-605) 9. Other: \_ 4. Extraordinary Mitigation Findings (AOC-CR-606) 5. Restitution Worksheet, Notice And Order (Initial Sentencing) (AOC-CR-611) Date Certified Copies Delivered To Sheriff Date Deputy CSC Asst. CSC SEAL Clerk Of Superior Court

# STATE VERSUS

Name Of Defendant

INTERMEDIATE PUNISHMENTS NOTE: Use this page with AOC-CR-310A, "Impaired Driving - Judgment Suspending Sentence"; AOC-CR-603A, "Judgment Suspending Sentence - Felony"; AOC-CR-604A, "Judgment Suspending Sentence - Misdemeanor"; AOC-CR-619A, "Conditional Discharge Under G.S. 90-96(a)"; AOC-CR-621A, "Conditional Discharge Under G.S. 14-50.29"; AOC-CR-627A, "Conditional Discharge Under G.S. 90-96(a1)"; AOC-CR-632A, "Conditional Discharge Under G.S. 15A-1341(a4)"; or AOC-CR-633A, "Conditional Discharge Under G.S. 15A-1341(a5)"; for offenses committed before Dec. 1, 2009. In addition to complying with the regular and any special conditions of probation set forth in the "Judgment Suspending Sentence" entered in the above case(s), the defendant shall also comply with the following special conditions of probation and conditions of special probation, which are defined as intermediate punishments by G.S. 15A-1340.11(6). 1. Special Probation - G.S. 15A-1351 For the defendant's active sentence as a condition of special probation, the defendant shall comply with these additional regular conditions of probation: (1) Obey the rules and regulations of the Division of Adult Correction governing the conduct of inmates while imprisoned. (2) Report to a probation officer in the State of North Carolina within seventy-two (72) hours of the defendant's discharge from the active term of imprisonment. A. Serve an active term of \_\_\_\_\_ days months hours in the custody of the N.C. DAC. Sheriff of this County. Other: (NOTE: Noncontinuous periods of special probation may not be served in DAC. Also, special probation imposed in misdemeanor sentences on or after Oct. 1, 2014, and in sentences under G.S. 20-179 on or after Jan. 1, 2015, may not be served in DAC.) B. The defendant shall report in a sober condition to begin serving his/her term on: and shall remain in Day Hour □AM Day  $\square AM$ custody until:  $\square$  PM  $\square$  PM C. The defendant shall again report in a sober condition to continue serving this term on the same day of the week for the next consecutive weeks, and shall remain in custody during the same hours each week until completion of the active sentence ordered. D. This sentence shall be served at the direction of the probation officer within \_ \_ days months E. Pay jail fees. F. Work release is recommended. G. Substance abuse treatment is recommended. H. Other: 2. Residential Program - G.S. 15A-1340.11(8); 15A-1343(b1)(2) (name program) residential program for a period of Attend or reside in days, months, and abide by all rules and after care regulations of that program. Other: 3. House Arrest With Electronic Monitoring - G.S. 15A-1340.11(4a); 15A-1343(b1)(3c) Be assigned to house arrest with electronic monitoring for a period of \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_ \_ days, \_\_\_ months, and submit to electronic monitoring and abide by all rules, regulations, and directions of the probation officer, regarding electronic monitoring, and pay the fees prescribed under G.S. 15A-1343(c2) pursuant to the schedule set out under Monetary Conditions. Other: 4. Intensive Supervision Program - G.S. 15A-1340.11(5); 15A-1343(b1)(3b); 143B-704(c) Submit to supervision by officers assigned to the Intensive Probation Program established pursuant to G.S. 143B-704(c), for a period months (6 to 9 months recommended by the Section of Community Corrections), and comply with the rules adopted by that program. οf Other: 5. Day Reporting Center - G.S. 15A-1340.11(3); 15A-1343(b1)(10); 15A-1340.11(6) Report as directed by the probation officer to the Day Reporting Center for a period of \_\_\_\_ days, months, and abide by all rules and regulations of that program. Other: 6. Drug Treatment Court - G.S. 15A-1340.11(3a); 15A-1340.11(6) Comply with the rules adopted for the program as provided for in Article 62 of Chapter 7A of the General Statutes and report on a regular basis for a specified time to participate in court supervision, drug screening or testing, and drug or alcohol treatment programs. Other:

# - A p 9 MANDATORY SPECIAL CONDITIONS FOR SEX OFFENDERS AND PERSONS CONVICTED OF OFFENSES INVOLVING PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR SEXUAL ABUSE OF A MINOR - G.S. 15A-1343(b2)

				, , ,	
				e not defined as intermediate punishments under G.S. 15A-	-1340.11(6).
NOT	E:	Selec	only one	of the three sets of conditions below.	14.4040(1.0)
□ 1				ditions For Reportable Convictions - G.S. 15	A-1343(D2)
				only for a reportable conviction under G.S. 14-208.6. as been convicted of an offense which is a reportable convi	iction as defined in C.S. 1/L-208 6(/) and must
				is a sex offender and enroll in satellite-based monitoring if r	
					plete a prescribed course of psychiatric, psychological, or other
				ive treatment as ordered by the court.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	_	c.	Not comm	nunicate with, be in the presence of, or found in or on the pr	remises of the victim of the offense.
	L	d.	$\overline{}$	t finds physical, mental, or sexual abuse of a minor) Not reside in	a household with
				or sexual abuse) any minor child.	
					he child(ren) named below, for whom the court expressly finds that it is recur and that it would be in the best interest of the child(ren) named
					(Name minor child(ren) with whom the probationer may reside in the same
				pusehold):	traine filling dilitation, with whom the probationer may reside in the same
		e.			officer of the defendant's person, of the defendant's vehicle and
			premises,	and of the defendant's computer or other electronic mecha	anism which may contain electronic data, while the defendant is
			present, fo	or the following purposes which are reasonably related to th	he defendant's probation supervision: child pornography
			Ц		
		f.	Other:		
□ 2	2. 5	Spec	ial Cond	litions For Offenses Involving The Sexual Al	buse Of A Minor - G.S. 15A-1343(b2)
				if offense involved sexual abuse of a minor but is <b>not</b> a repo	
				as been convicted of an offense involving the sexual abuse	
		a.			plete a prescribed course of psychiatric, psychological, or other
		h		ive treatment as ordered by the court.	remises of the victim of the offense
				nunicate with, be in the presence of, or found in or on the pre in a household with any minor child. (G.S. 15A-1343(b2)(4	
					officer of the defendant's person, of the defendant's vehicle and
		۵.			anism which may contain electronic data, while the defendant is
				or the following purposes which are reasonably related to the	
			Ц		
		e.	Other:		
3	ľ	N <b>ÓTE</b> The de a. b. c.	E: Impose it in participate rehabilitati Not comm Not reside (1) an (2) an had substitute the comment of the co	if offense involved physical or mental abuse of a minor but it as been convicted of an offense involving the physical or metal in such evaluation and treatment as is necessary to comprive treatment as ordered by the court.  Inunicate with, be in the presence of, or found in or on the presence in a household with my minor child.  In y minor child other than the child(ren) named below, for what memory is a probation of the probationer. (Name minor child(ren) with who reasonable times to warrantless searches by a probation of the	polete a prescribed course of psychiatric, psychological, or other remises of the victim of the offense.  Whom the court expressly finds that it is unlikely that the defendant's the best interest of the child(ren) named below to reside in the same form the probationer may reside in the same household):  Officer of the defendant's person, of the defendant's vehicle and anism which may contain electronic data, while the defendant is
				ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS FOR	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
	_	Dureur	ant to its fin	ading that the defendant is responsible for acts of domestic	
ш'	Ę				plence Commission, reasonably available to the defendant, who shall:
	_			or supervised probation) attend and complete (check one)	(program name)
			_ , , [	a program to be identified by the probation officer, and al	bide by the program's rules. The probation officer shall send a copy of
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	er if the defendant fails to participate or is discharged for violating any
			□ (a) (a	of its rules.	□, .
			(2) (fo	or unsupervised probation) attend and complete (check one)	program name) of that choice within ten (10) days
				of the entry of this judgment, and abide by the program's	s rules. The district attorney shall send a copy of this judgment to the
					endant fails to participate or is discharged for failure to comply with the
				program or its rules.	
		b.		o approved abuser treatment program reasonably available	e. c. it would not be in the best interests of justice to order the
				to complete an abuser treatment program because	<u> </u>
∐ 2	. /			ecial Conditions of Probation, the defendant shall:	
	Ļ		not come v	within feet of lly with any G.S. Chapter 50B Domestic Violence Protective	at any time.
The o	bo.			ily with any G.S. Chapter 50B Domestic Violence Protective incorporated in the "Judgment Suspending Sentence" in the	
Date	, DU	- C COI	iditions alt	Name Of Presiding Judge (type or print)	Signature Of Presiding Judge
Jule				Trains of Freduing suage (type of plint)	Signature Of Freedomy eduge

- A p 10 -

STA	TE OF NO	RTH CA	AROLINA	File No.	
			County	In The General Court (	
		STATE VE	RSUS		
Name Of	Defendant	<u> </u>			
				TRANSCRIPT OF P	LEA
DOB		Age	Highest Level Of Education Completed		
				G.S	. 15A-1022, 15A-1022.1
☐ Th		ent set forth v		ment. ected and the clerk shall place this form in the ca	ase file. (Applies to plea
Date		Name Of	Presiding Judge (Type Or Print)	Signature Of Presiding Judge	
affirm		plea of _ g		in open court, finds that the defendant (1) was defendant (1) was defendant (2) offered the fo	
					Answers
1.	Are you able to	hear and und	derstand me?		(1)
2.	Do you understa against you?	and that you	have the right to remain silent an	d that any statement you make may be used	(2)
3.	At what grade le	evel can you	read and write?		(3)
4.	(a). Are you now	v under the ir	fluence of alcohol, drugs, narcot	ics, medicines, pills, or any other substances?	(4a)
	(b). When was t	the last time y	ou used or consumed any such	substance?	(4b)
5.			nined to you by your lawyer, and velement of each charge?	do you understand the nature of the charges,	(5)
6.	(a). Have you a	nd your lawye	er discussed the possible defens	es, if any, to the charges?	(6a)
	(b). Are you sat	isfied with yo	ur lawyer's legal services?		(6b)
7.	(a). Do you und	erstand that	you have the right to plead not gu	uilty and be tried by a jury?	(7a)
	• •	erstand that		confront and to cross examine witnesses	(7b)
	aggravating	factors that		have a jury determine the existence of any plicable, additional sentencing points not related to	(7c)
	(d). Do you und	erstand that I		and other valuable constitutional rights to a	(7d)
8.	contest may res	ult in your de		States of America, your plea(s) of guilty or no exclusion from admission to this country, or the	(8)
<u> </u>	Do you understathe event that ye	and that upor ou refuse pro	n conviction of a felony you may the bation or that your probation is re	orfeit any State licensing privileges you have in evoked?	(9)
10.	Do you understa	and that follo	wing a plea of guilty or no contes	t there are limitations on your right to appeal?	(10)
11.			plea of guilty may impact how lon tissue) will be preserved?	ng biological evidence related to your case	(11)

- A p 11 -12. Do you understand that you are pleading  $\ \square$  guilty  $\ \square$  no contest  $\$  to the charges shown below?  $(12)_{-}$ (Describe charges, total maximum punishments, and applicable mandatory minimums for those charges.) **PLEAS** Count Date Of ±Pun. Maximum G.S. No. CL Plea\* File Number Offense(s) F/M No.(s) Offense See attached AOC-CR-300A, for additional charges. \*G = Guilty GA = Alford plea **TOTAL MAXIMUM PUNISHMENT** NC = No Contest MANDATORY MINIMUM FINES & SENTENCES (if any) ✓ NOTE TO CLERK: If this column is checked this is an added offense or reduced charge. \$\frac{1}{2}\$ NOTE: Enter punishment class if different from underlying offense class (punishment class represents a status or enhancement). (13) 13. Do you now personally plead ☐ guilty ☐ no contest to the charges I just described? 14. (a) Are you in fact guilty? (14a) \_\_\_\_\_ (b) (no contest plea) Do you understand that, upon your plea of no contest, you will be treated as being (14b) guilty whether or not you admit that you are in fact guilty? (c) (Alford *guilty plea*) (1) Do you now consider it to be in your best interest to plead guilty to the charges I just described? (14c1) (2) Do you understand that, upon your "Alford guilty plea," you will be treated as being guilty whether (14c2) or not you admit that you are in fact guilty? 15. (Use if aggravating factors are listed below) Have you admitted the existence of the aggravating factors shown (15) \_\_\_\_\_ below, have you agreed that there is evidence to support these factors beyond a reasonable doubt, have you agreed that the Court may accept your admission to these factors, and do you understand that you are waiving any notice requirement that the State may have with regard to these aggravating factors agree that the State has provided you with appropriate notice about these aggravating factors? (If so, review the aggravating factors with the defendant.)

] 16.	(Use if sentencing points are listed below) Have you admitted the existence of the sentencing points not related to prior convictions shown below, have you agreed that there is evidence to support these points beyond a reasonable doubt, have you agreed that the Court may accept your admission to these points, and do you understand that you are waiving any notice requirement that the State may have with regard to these sentencing points agree that the State has provided you with appropriate notice about these sentencing points? (If so, review the sentencing points with the defendant.)	(16)
17.	Do you understand that you also have the right during a sentencing hearing to prove to the Court the existence of any mitigating factors that may apply to your case?	(17)
18.	Do you understand that the courts have approved the practice of plea arrangements and you can discuss your plea arrangement with me without fearing my disapproval?	(18)
	R-300, Side Two, Rev. 3/15 5 Administrative Office of the Courts	

- A p 12 -STATE VERSUS Name Of Defendant (19) \_\_\_ of the plea arrangement as listed in No. 20 below with the defendant.) 20. The prosecutor, your lawyer and you have informed the Court that these are all the terms and conditions of your plea: **PLEA ARRANGEMENT** The State dismisses the charge(s) set out on Page Two, Side Two, of this transcript. The defendant stipulates to restitution to the party(ies) in the amounts set out on "Restitution Worksheet, Notice And Order (Initial Sentencing)" (AOC-CR-611). 21. Is the plea arrangement as set forth within this transcript and as I have just described it to you correct as being your full plea arrangement? 22. Do you now personally accept this arrangement? (22) \_\_\_\_\_ 23. (Other than the plea arrangement between you and the prosecutor) has anyone promised you anything or threatened you in any way to cause you to enter this plea against your wishes? 24. Do you enter this plea of your own free will, fully understanding what you are doing? (24) \_\_\_\_ 25. Do you agree that there are facts to support your plea and admission to aggravating factors (25) and sentencing points not related to prior convictions, and do you consent to the Court hearing a summary of the evidence? 26. Do you have any questions about what has just been said to you or about anything else connected to your case? **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT BY DEFENDANT** I have read or have heard all of these questions and understand them. The answers shown are the ones I gave in open court and they are true and accurate. No one has told me to give false answers in order to have the Court accept my plea in this case. The terms and conditions of the plea as stated within this transcript, if any, are accurate.

SWORN/AFFIRI	MED AND SUBS	CRIBED TO BEFORE ME	Date
Date	Signature		Signature Of Defendant
Deputy CSC	Assistant CSC	Clerk Of Superior Court	Name Of Defendant (Type Or Print)

### CERTIFICATION BY LAWYER FOR DEFENDANT

I hereby certify that the terms and conditions stated within this transcript, if any, upon which the defendant's plea was entered are correct and they are agreed to by the defendant and myself. I further certify that I have fully explained to the defendant the nature and elements of the charges to which the defendant is pleading, and the aggravating and mitigating factors and prior record points for sentencing, if any.

Name Of Lawyer For Defendant (Type Or Print) Signature Of Lawyer For Defendant Date

### **CERTIFICATION BY PROSECUTOR**

As prosecutor for this Prosecutorial District, I hereby certify that the conditions stated within this transcript, if any, are the terms and conditions agreed to by the defendant and his/her lawyer and myself for the entry of the plea by the defendant to the charges in this case.

Date Name Of Prosecutor (Type Or Print) Signature Of Prosecutor

		PLEA ADJUDICATI	ON				
		ence or factual presentation offetor, the undersigned finds that:	red, answers of the defe	endant, statements of the	lawyer for		
1. There is a factual basis for the entry of the plea (and for the admission as to aggravating factors and/or sentencing points);							
The defendant is satisfied with his/her lawyer's legal services;							
<ul><li>3. The defendant is com</li><li>4. ☐ The State has pro</li></ul>		with appropriate notice as to the	andravating factors and	d/or points:	ndant has		
waived notice as to the			aggravating lactors are	aror points, The deter	idani nas		
5. The plea (and admission	on) is the informed ch	noice of the defendant and is ma	ide freely, voluntarily an	d understandingly.			
The defendant's plea (and	admission) is hereby	accepted by the Court and is or	dered recorded.				
Date Na	ame Of Presiding Judge (T	Type Or Print)	Signature Of Presiding Judge				
	SUPERIOR COUF	RT DISMISSALS PURSUAN	T TO PLEA ARRANG	SEMENT			
File No.	Count No.(s)		Offense(s)				
	DISTRICT COUR	│ T DISMISSALS PURSUAN1	TO PLEA ARRANG	EMENT			
File No.	Count No.(s)		Offense(s)				
	,						
		OFFICIOATION BY 53.0	DECUTOR -				
		CERTIFICATION BY PROS	·				
		al to the above charges pursual		t shown on this Transcrip	Of Plea.		
Date Na	ame Of Prosecutor (Type 0	Or Print)	Signature Of Prosecutor				